

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/809,389 03/26/2004		03/26/2004 .	Hajime Nakao	Q80691	7565	
23373	7590	09/13/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUI		N, PLLC ANIA AVENUE, N.W.	WALKE, A	WALKE, AMANDA C		
SUITE 800	SILV.	ANIA A VENOL, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON,	DC 20037	1752			
				DATE MAILED: 09/13/200	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)						
			89	NAKAO ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examine	Γ	Art Unit						
		Amanda		1752	·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>26 March 2004</i>			,					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.									
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers			•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen	t(s)									
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	.,	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/809,389

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10/937270. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the reference claims a photoresist composition comprising the same components including identical resins. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of 10/937270, with the end product meeting the instant claim limitations.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,787,282. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the reference claims a photoresist composition comprising the same components including identical

Art Unit: 1752

resins. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of Sato (6,787,282), with the end product meeting the instant claim limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodama et al (EP 1179750).

Kodama et al disclose a positive photoresist comprising a resin, solvent, nitrogen containing basic compound (page 82), a surfactant (page 85), and an acid generator (pages 7-20). The resins appear to meet the instant claim limitations (see especially resin 36 on page 98, 9 and 11 on page 90, 12 on 91, and 15 on page 92).

Given the teachings of the reference, the instant claims are anticipated.

6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato (6,787,282)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Sato discloses a positive resist composition comprising (and claiming) a resin meeting the instant claim limitations, a nitrogen containing basic compound, a solvent, a surfactant, and a photoacid generator. Given the teachings and claims of the reference, the instant claims are anticipated.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nishimura et al (6,753,124) is cited for its teaching of similar materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 \$\frac{1}{2}17-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda C Walke

Application/Control Number: 10/809,389 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW September 6, 2005